

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vigguna 22313-1450 www.usplc.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09:732,123		12/07/2000	Moris M. Amon	10242	9609
23455	7590	06/24/2003			
EXXONM	OBIL (CHEMICAL COMP	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2 BAYTOW		77522-2149	VO, HAI		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1771	
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\overrightarrow{X}				
•		09/732,123	AMON, MORIS M.	. /				
Office	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hai Vo	1771					
	NG DATE of this communica	tion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress				
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsi	ve to communication(s) filed							
, —	n is FINAL . 2b)	· 						
3) Since this	application is in condition for	or allowance except for formal n e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	natters, prosecution as to the C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ments is				
Disposition of Clair		dildor Expanto Quayro,	,					
4)⊡ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	-11 is/are pending in the app	olication.						
4a) Of the a	4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) _	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1</u>	6)[☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) _	is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) _	are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
_		or foreign priority under 35 H S	C. 8.119(a)-(d) or (f)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
The state of the s								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	D-948) 5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(e of Informal Patent Application (PTG :					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/732,123

Art Unit: 1771

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1- 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Koontz (US 6,022,902) substantially as set forth in Paper no. 10.
- 4. Claims 1- 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kubota et al (US 5,340,672) substantially as set forth in Paper no. 10.
- 5. Claims 1- 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP-02208333 substantially as set forth in Paper no. 10.
- 6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koontz (US 6,022,902) or Kubota et al (US 5,340,672) or JP02208333 as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Chung (US 5,837,365) substantially as set forth in Paper no. 10.

Application/Control Number: 09/732,123 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 04/23/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

8. The art rejections have been maintained for following reasons. Applicant argues that the prior art references cannot inherently possess the claimed receding contact angle and pore accessibility for water as set forth in the claims due to the many different ways and different variables within the different ways, of plasma-treating a film. The arguments are not found persuasive. In the first place, all of the cited references disclose the same plasma discharge to treat the surface of the same porous film as the present invention and the porous film having pore volume fraction within the claimed range. Secondly, nothing in the claims is specific about the conditions with which the plasma is operating to provide the film having a receding contact angle and pore accessibility for water set forth in the claims. It seems from the claim, if one meets the structure recited, i.e. pore volume fraction, the film layer treated with plasma, the properties must be met or Applicant's claim is incomplete (Note discussion found in Ex parte Slob, 157 USPQ 172). Finally, Applicant argues that in light of the different ways and different variables within the different ways, for preparing a plasma-treated porous film layer, a person of ordinary skill on the art armed only with Koontz, Kubota or JP'333 will prepare many plasma-treated porous film layers having properties outside the scope of the present claims. They are not found persuasive since there is no evidence to show that why the cited references

Application/Control Number: 09/732,123

Art Unit: 1771

cannot possess the porous film that has the properties set out in the claims.

Applicant needs to provide facts or affidavit to support his arguments or conclusions.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (703) 605-4426.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri, 8:30-6:00 and on alternating Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

Application/Control Number: 09/732,123 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

HV June 16, 2003

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700